Cemental Tear: Risk Factor of Localized Irreversible Periodontal Destruction
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ABSTRACT

백악질 열상: 국소적인 치주질환의 위험 인자

김용철

백악질 열상(cemental tear)는 백악질 일부가 치주 표면에서 분리되어 떨어지는 현상으로서, 그 동안 치주문헌에 보고되어 왔으나 많은 임상가들에게 널리 인식되지 못하였다. 간헐적이지만 백악질 열상의 임상증례들이 지속적으로 보고되고 있으며 이에 따라 백악질열상은 치주질환과 관련된 병증으로의 이해가 필요하다.

따라서 체계적인 백악질 열상에 관한 연구가 드문 현실에서 단편적으로 나아 백악질 열상을 다룬 기존 연구들을 통해 백악질 열상의 임상적 연구와 성과를 정리하고, 병증으로서의 백악질 열상에 대한 종합적 상황을 제시하는 것은 중요한 의미를 갖는다. 이를 위해 본 논문에서는 관련연구를 통해 백악질 열상의 원인과 방도, 치료방법, 조직학 및 임상적 소견 등을 분석하고자 한다.

정확한 연구결과는 없지만 백악질 열상의 발생은 과소진단되거나 과소보고되는 것으로 추정된다. 조직학적으로는 백악질이 전체 혹은 일부만 치주표면에서 분리된 소견을 보인다. 임상 증상 및 소견은 다양하게 나타나지만, 뿐만 아니라 치과적 및 치주의 방사선 소견이 주로 보고되었다. 백악질 열상의 임상적인 방증은 두려한 위험 요인이 없이 발생한 국소적이고 급격한 부착수증 소견으로 알려져 있다. 백악질 열상의 원인 또는 위험 요인으로는 외상과 노화가 의심되어 임상적 연관관계는 아직까지 연구 된 바 없다.

백악질 열상의 진단은 환자의 병력, 임상증상 및 소견 그리고 방사선 소견 등을 종합하여 이루어지고 있다. 치과적 및 방사선 소견은 백악질열상의 혈액 또는 상피의 생긴 경우에는 나타나지 않으므로 전단 시 유의해야 한다는 점에도 주의해야 한다. 본 연구에서는 첫째, 규격하고 국소적인 부착수증 소실의 감별진단 시 백악질 열상은 반드시 포함되어야 할 중요한 병증으로 고려되어야 한다는 점, 둘째, 백악질 열상의 치료계획, 즉 치료성 치주조직의 치유 또는 연관된 치야의 막치 여부 결정은 치아의 전해질 전단과 예후에 따라 정해야 한다는 점을 강조하였다.
Cemental tear is defined as a fragment of the cementum separating from the underlying root surface. The fragment may be completely, or only partially detached. Cemental tearing is one of “the tooth-related issues that modify or predispose to periodontitis in new classification of periodontal disease”.

As this entity presents itself infrequently, it has been generally ignored by dental practitioners. There are a limited number of studies or case reports published on this subject, and most concentrate on clinical management, a few supported by histologic evidence. However, continuously reported clinical cases reflect the necessity of a comprehensive understanding of cemental tearing.

Therefore, it would be meaningful to review the literature about cemental tear and emphasize its clinical implication. This article reviews cemental tear: prevalence, histologic findings, clinical characteristics, etiology, and treatment.

Prevalence

The prevalence of cemental tearing is not known. Leknes et al. suggested that this clinical entity is likely to be highly under-diagnosed and under-reported. While examining extracted teeth specimen, Moscow was able to identify a number of cemental tears. Other clinicians have documented incidental cemental fractures.

Histologic findings

Histologic findings on removed fragments show lamellated layers with inner acellular and outer cellular cementum. Based on the macroscopic appearance, as well as, the microscopic structure, Haney et al. concluded that a cemental tear fragment became separated either along the cemento-dentinal junction or at an incremental line within the cementum (Figure 1). When the cervical portion of a cemental fragment is only partially separated, but extends into the gingival sulcus, calculus deposits may fill the separation gap, bridging the dentinal surface and the separated cementum and junctional epithelium has also been observed in the gap.

Clinical symptoms and signs

The reported symptoms experienced by
patients vary. They are occasionally asymptomatic, sometimes presenting a painless swelling \textsuperscript{13, 14} or still others associated with acute pain \textsuperscript{13, 15}. Mobility is often an independent symptom\textsuperscript{15}, but may be accompanied with pain and/or swelling\textsuperscript{13}. Suppuration through the sulcus or fistula formation with or without edema is often detected\textsuperscript{13, 14, 15, 16}. A vague tactile sensation of during regular probing is frequently related to root fractures, in general, including cemental tears\textsuperscript{15}.

**Radiographic appearance**

Radiographic features of cemental tears depend upon the location of the separation. Only the separation at the proximal surfaces can be detected in two-dimensional imaging. It also depends on the amount of separation. If the extent of separation is minimal, the tear is unlikely to be visible by radiographic assessment.

Cemental tears often present as a radiopaque fragment\textsuperscript{14, 15, 16, 17}. In some cases, the tear was radiographically found closely related to an intrabony defect\textsuperscript{17, 18}. As a consequence of the radiographic uncertainty, Lyons et al\textsuperscript{19} refuted diagnostic dependency on radiographic analysis. The authors argued that tears on the buccal or lingual surface, which are undetectable radiographically, may present a diagnostic dilemma. Several authors have found and supported radiographic diagnostic incapability\textsuperscript{14, 16, 17}.

**Localized attachment loss**

Localized and rapid attachment loss is a pathognomonic feature of the cemental tear. Typically, an isolated deep probing depth combined with a prominent attachment loss is noted on a tooth in an otherwise periodontally healthy patient\textsuperscript{11, 13, 14}. Leknes et al\textsuperscript{4} demonstrated consistent histologic evidence of attachment loss associated with cemental tears on 17 extracted teeth. Occasionally, clinical attachment loss presents on a different surface than the tear\textsuperscript{11}.

**Causes and predisposing factors**

There are no existing studies delineating the etiology or pathogenesis regarding cemental tears. Many authors have suggested that trauma and aging are the two main factors that may cause the separation within the cementum\textsuperscript{13, 14, 15, 16, 17}. For the trauma theory, several authors explained that weak interconnection at the cemento-dentinal junction is prone to break apart when heavy force is applied to the tooth\textsuperscript{6}.

The reduction in collagen fibril attachment and adhesion of proteoglycan of the cemento-dentinal junction following histologic examination in rat and human teeth\textsuperscript{16, 18} supports the concept of “weaker interconnection” at the cemento-dentinal junction.

Aging is also postulated as a contributing factor although it remains a controversial issue. Leknes et al\textsuperscript{4} speculated that the aging process of the periodontium affects the remodeling capacity of cementum leading to the cemental tear. In contrast, Lehman and Reissig\textsuperscript{8} observed a high periodontal repair activity in a histologic study examining specimens from a 68-year-old female patient.

**Treatment / outcomes**

Various treatment modalities have been
presented. The extent of tear plays a major role on treatment planning and outcome of the treatment. Treatment modality depends on the clinical parameters of probing depth, amount of bone loss, and morphology of bony defect related to the tear.

As long as the tear is not exposed to the oral cavity, apart from the gingival sulcus, and exhibits no sign of adjacent bone resorption, repair may occur and intervention treatment may not be necessary.

Surgical removal of the fragment if necessary can be accomplished with open flap access. Regenerative attempts have been used, including allograft material, resorbable membrane in 3-wall defect, or different types of resorbable membranes combined with various graft materials. If there is extensive destruction associated with the tear, extraction should be considered.

The longest follow-up after the treatment in the literature is 7 years. All of the reported methods of treatment have resulted in successful resolution, i.e. a reduction in probing depth and/or radiographic evidence of bone gain.

**Conclusion**

The literature has convincingly documented cemental tearing as a clinical entity. It behooves the practicing dentist to include this phenomenon in his/her differential diagnosis, particularly when an unexplained sudden loss of periodontal clinical attachment occurs. The diagnosis should not only rely solely on conventional radiographic findings, because the tear could be on the facial or lingual side and it may not show on two-dimensional radiographic imaging. History of illness, clinical signs and symptoms should be combined to make the diagnosis. However the true diagnosis of cemental tear is only confirmed by histologic examination to determine if the fracture is limited to the cementum. The decision to treat, in hopes of repairing the damaged periodontium, or to extract should be made according to the full complement of diagnostic data pertaining to the current and past clinical characteristics of the problem site.

---
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